PRWD Rule Revision Board Workshop #3

Permitting Rule Review & Gap Analysis







Rule Making is a Process

- When we work the process we
 - Stay focused
 - Systemically address each item
 - Provide important background information
 - Make informed decisions
 - Work towards consensus
- We're not starting from scratch
 - This will be a refinement and clarification process
 - We will revisit and either edit or reaffirm as needed





What is the Process?

BWSR Rule Making Handbook

Statutory Requirements

Facilitated by following a Roadmap

- 1. Review District Goals & Purpose
- 2. Establish Baseline Knowledge
- 3. Clarify/Update Rule Language and Criteria
- 4. Coordination With Other Agencies
- 5. Establish Procedures/Workflows
- 6. Stakeholder Review of Proposed Updates
- 7. Complete Review and Comment Period





Rule Review



• Form

- What do the Rules look like
- How do applicants interact with them
- · What is inside of them

Function

- What are the specific requirements
- How do submittals compare
- How do thresholds compare

Form

Exercise in perspective

- Gardener hobby
 - Inputs seed, water, fertilizer(?), pesticide(?)
 - Outputs produce (food)
- Farmer agribusiness
 - Inputs tillage, pre-emerge, seed, fertilizer, herbicide/pesticide (cultivation), equipment (fuel, improvements), other
 - Outputs crop (commodity) which they then have to sell to make their profit

Both are growing plants, but have very different needs, skills, and perspectives









Rule Review



- PRWD Permit Page <u>Permits Pelican River</u>
 <u>Watershed District (prwd.org)</u>
- PRWD Rules <u>RULES OUTLINE (prwd.org)</u>
- PRWD Resources <u>Permit Information and Guidance</u> <u>Documents - Pelican River Watershed District</u> <u>(prwd.org)</u>
- Rule Review & Gap Analysis Matrix -24041 PRWD Permit Matrix.xlsx

One Example



RCWD Permit Page - <u>Permitting Information | Rice</u>
 <u>Creek Watershed District</u>

• RCWD Rules - <u>RICE CREEK WATERSHED</u> <u>DISTRICT</u>

Moving Forward



- Prepare Draft Revised Rule
- Seeking concurrence on the following
 - Comprehensive Rule
 - Match partner submittal requirements as feasible
 - Break Rule into action-based sections with related requirements
 - Remove scope as feasible where state regulates to an equal or more restrictive standard
 - Incorporate existing guidance as applicable
 - Move to an instant volume standard (MIDS) instead of event based volume for water quality requirements

